4



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/718,734 11/22/2000		George M. Brookner	770P009595-US(PAR)	2840
2512 75	90 07/12/2004		EXAMI	NER
PERMAN & GREEN			LEMMA, SAMSON B	
425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06824			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, 1		2132	<u></u>
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	'

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

(Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/718,734	BROOKNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this account of the con-	Samson B Lemma	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 N	ovember 2000.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumr	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTÖ-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5 & 6</u> .	6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040603				

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-30 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claim 1 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 and 21 recite the limitation "standard". Applicant did not define the term "standard" distinctly in the claim. The term "standard" has to explicitly be defined in both claims 1 and 21 so that there would not be any ambiguity.
- 4. Claims 3-12 depend from rejected claim 1, and include all the limitations of the respective claim, thereby rendering those dependent claims indefinite.

Art Unit: 2132

- 5. Claims 22-24 depend from rejected claim 21, and include all the limitations of the respective claim, thereby rendering those dependent claims indefinite
- 6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 14 recites the limitation said "communication channel". There is ambiguity since it does not explain which communication channel it is. The "communication channel" could be the one that is used to provide the device to the user or the other one that is used to communicate the PIN to the user of the device. The communication channel has to be clearly one or the other.
- 7. Claims 15 depend from rejected claim 14, and include all the limitations of the respective claim, thereby rendering those dependent claims indefinite.
- 8. Claim 22 recites the limitation said encrypted version of said PIN. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2132

9. Claims 23-24 depend from rejected claim 22, and include all the limitations of the respective claim, thereby rendering those dependent claims indefinite

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

11. Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Challender et al.(hereinafter refereed to as Challender) (U.S. Patent No.6,668,323).

Art Unit: 2132

12. As per claim 27, Challender discloses a method for resetting a pin in a secure device comprising:

(a) sending a request message to a data center having an original pin (understood by the examiner as power on password POP) for said device(said device understood by the examiner to be a computer system) said request message including authorization data indicative of at least one of the device and an authorized user of said device, (column 5, 23-44) (serial number meets the recitation of the data indicative of the device)

and

- (b) Securely communicating the original PIN to the location of the device (column 5, lines 39-44) (the computer system understood be the said device by the examiner)
- 13. As per claim 28, Challender discloses the method as applied to claim 27 above. Furthermore, Challender discloses the method wherein the device has the current PIN, further comprising replacing the current PIN with the Original PIN. (column 5, lines 29-51; figure 4; column 4, lines 21-22; column 4, lines 54-58).

Art Unit: 2132

14. As per claim 29, Challender discloses the method as applied to claim 27 above. Furthermore Challender discloses the method wherein the communicating of the original PIN comprises:

sending the Original PIN to the user of the device; (column 5, 39-40) and

the user of the device entering the Origional PIN into the device.(column 5, line, lines 50-51 and figure 4).

15. As per claim 30, Challender discloses the method as applied to claim 27 above. Furthermore, Challender teaches the method wherein at least one of (a) and (b) are performed using at least one of a secure communication channel and secure communication techniques. (column 5, lines 23-24; column 5, lines 39-44).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2132

17. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Markus G. Kuhn (hereinafter refereed to as Kuhn) (reference U) (07/30/1997) in view of Matyas et al (hereinafter refereed to as Matyas) (U.S. Patent No. 4,924,514).

18. As per claim 1, Khun teaches a method for generating PIN comprising:

Generating a number of random binary bits (this number is transferred in to a 64-bit pattern and meets the limitation of random bits); (Page 1, lines 12-17; figure on the last page)

Determining any arbitrary digits out of the 16 hexadecimal digit (take 3-6 hexadecimal digits), (meets the limitation of determining the least significant bits).(page 1, lines 17, page 4; figure on the last page)

Converting the hexadecimal digit (3-6) in to a decimal integer using a decimalization mapping (Figure on the last page) (this meets the limitation of converting the least significant bits to a decimal integer).

Art Unit: 2132

Furthermore Kuhn teaches shifting the values of the integer by a predetermined (selected) constant to produce a shifted integer (constant is an offset in the reference) (figure on the last page). Kuhn does not explicitly teaches encoding the shifted integer as bits in a PIN block in accordance with a standard. ("standard" is understood by the examiner to be "ISO 9564-1"). However Matyas teaches encoding the pin in a pin block in accordance with one of the several industry-accepted de facto standard. (standard could be ISO 9564-1) (column 7, lines 52; column 5, lines 53-55 and column 5, line 63-67).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to encode kuhn's shifted integer as per teaching of Matya's, in order to produce the encoding PIN block in accordance to the industry accepted de facto standard (standard could be ISO 9564-1)

19. As per claim 2, the combination of khun and Matyas teach the method as applied to claim 1 above. Furthermore, Matyas teaches the method wherein the standard is one of industry accepted de facto standards.(standard could be ISO 9564-1) (column 5,line 65-68 and column 6, line 1).

Art Unit: 2132

- 20. As per claim 3, the combination of Kuhn and Matyas teach the method as applied to claim 1 above. Furthermore, Kuhn teaches the method wherein the number of bits is sixty-four (64-bit pattern) or which is equivalent to the 16-digit decimal number. (page 1,lines 12-15; figure on the last page). Kuhn discloses that the 64 bits pattern or its equivalent 16-digit decimal number is formed by concatenating five digits of the bank routing number, ten digit account number, and a single digit card sequence number and after that the result was encoded (encrypted) using the DES algorithm with the secret 56-bit institute key k1. (page1, lines 12-17; figure on the last page). (this will make each successive digit or bits to be equally likely and unpredictable to meet the recitation of Random.)
- 21. As per claim 4, the combination of Kuhn and Matyas teach the method as applied to claim 1 above. Furthermore, Kuhn teaches the method wherein the number of bits are sixteen or (4 decimal integer).(taking 3-6 digits or its equivalent 4 decimal integer).(page 1, lines 17). selecting any sixteen bits out of the 64 bits or any 4 hexadecimal digit out of the 16 hexadecimal digit is arbitrary. Selection the right most, or the middle or the left most bits is arbitrary. (Khun disclosure meet the recitation of sixteen least significant bits

Art Unit: 2132

22. As per claim 5, the combination of Kuhn and Matyas teach the method as applied to claim 1 above. Furthermore Kuhn teaches the method wherein the constant is the offset 1707). (figure on the last page). Kuhn also discloses replacing the 1st digit by 1, if the first of those digits is a 0, to make sure that the leading digit is not equal to 0. (page 1, line 18; figure on the last page) (This meets the recitation for the constant.

- 23. As per claim 6-11 the combination of kuhn and Matyas teach the method as applied to claim 1 above. Furthermore Matyas teaches the method wherein the PIN block includes the PIN block formats which have been standardized or have become industry-accepted de facto standards. (standard could be ISO 9564-1) (column 5, lines 63-68; column 6, lines 1-19 and column 7, line 53).
- 24. As per claim 12, the combination of kuhn and Matyas teach the method as applied to claim 1 above. Furthermore Kuhn teaches the method wherein the number of bits is sixty-four (64-bit pattern) or which is equivalent to the 16-digit decimal number. (page 1, lines 12-15; page4 (last page)). Kuhn also teaches that bits or its equivalent 16-digit decimal number is formed by concatenating five digits of the bank routing number, ten digit account number, and a single digit card sequence number and finally the result was encoded (encrypted) using the DES algorithm with the secret 56-bit institute key. (page1, lines 12-

Art Unit: 2132

17; page 4). (This will meet the recitation of pseudorandom generation).

- 25. Claims 13-19, 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.103(a) as being unpatentable over Holch et al. (hereinafter refereed to as Holch) (U.S. Patent No. 6,280,328) in view of Matyas et al (hereinafter refereed to as Matyas) (U.S. Patent No. 4,924,514).
- 26. As per claim 13, Holch discloses a method for managing security of a PIN used to provide access to a secure device, comprising:

choosing the PIN; (column 6, 20-21)

storing an encrypted version of the PIN in the device; (column 6,21-24) and

Furthermore Holch teaches communicating PIN to the account server after encrypting the PIN (column 7,lines 16-19). Holch does not explicitly teach communicating the PIN to a user of the device via a communication channel separate and apart from a channel used to provide the device to the user. However Matyas discloses communicating the PIN to a user of the cardholder via a communication channel (mail) separate and apart from a channel used to provide the card (device) to the user. (figure 5, column 4, lines 57-62). Therefore it would have been

Art Unit: 2132

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to communicate the Holch's PIN as per teachings of Matyas to communicate the PIN to a user of the device via a communication channel separate and apart from a channel used to provide the card (device) to the user, in order to ensure that the PIN is delivered to the actual user safely and securely.

- 27. As per claim 14, the combination of Holch and Matyas teach the method as applied to claim 13 above. Furthermore Matyas teaches the method wherein the standard said communication channel is a secure channel. (figure 5, column 4, lines 57-62)
- 28. As per claim 15, the combination of Holch and Matyas teach the method as applied to claim 14 above. Furthermore Matyas teaches the method comprising using encryption to render said communication channel secure. (figure 5; column 4, lines 57-62).
- 29. As per claim 16, the combination of Holch and Matyas teach the method as applied to claim 13 above. Furthermore Matyas teaches the method wherein the user of said device chooses said PIN.(column 6, line 20-21).

Art Unit: 2132

- 30. As per claim 17, the combination of Holch and Matyas teach the method as applied to claim 16 above. Furthermore Holch teaches a method wherein a manufacturer of said device causes said encrypted version of said PIN to be stored in said device. (column 6,21-24).
- 31. As per claim 18 and 19 the combination of Holch and Matyas teach the method as applied to claim 17 above. Furthermore Holch teaches the method further comprising the manufacturer or the card (device) issuer storing a record of said PIN in the Account server(column 6, lines 29-31). Therefore It is also obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to consider that the card (device) issuer has a capability of discarding all the records of said PIN if it has the capacity of storing a record of PIN.
- 32. As per claim 25 and 26 the combination of Holch and Matyas teach the method as applied to claim 13 above. Furthermore Holch teaches the method wherein the said device (magnetic card) stores the value of funds. (colum 6, 37-44).
- 33. Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.103(a) as being unpatentable over Holch et al. (hereinafter refereed to as Holch) (U.S. Patent No. 6,280,328) in view of Matyas et al (hereinafter refereed to as Matyas) (U.S. Patent No. 4,924,514) as applied to

Art Unit: 2132

claim 13 above and further in view of Markus G. Kuhn (hereinafter refereed to as Kuhn) (reference U) (07/30/1997)

- As per 20, the combination of Holch and Matyas teach a method wherein said PIN is chosen either by the user of the device or the PIN is generated and communicated to the user of the device. The combination of Holch and Matyas does not explicitly teach that the PIN is chosen using a random process. However, Kuhn teaches that bits or its equivalent 16-digit decimal number is formed by concatenating five digits of the bank routing number, ten digit account number, and a single digit card sequence number and finally the result was encoded (encrypted) using the DES algorithm with the secret 56-bit institute key. (pagel, lines 12-17; page 4). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Holch and Matyas related to choosing a PIN so as to include the initiation to choose the PIN in random process as per teachings of Khun, because such modification will make the PIN to be chosen by a random process. Therefore It would have been obvious to employ the teachings of Khun within the combination of Holch and Matyas to obtain the claimed invention.
- 35. As per claim 21 the combination of Holch, Matyas and Khun teaches the method as applied to claim 20 above. Furthermore Khun teaches method wherein said PIN is choosen by:

Art Unit: 2132

Generating a number of random binary bits (this number is transferred in to a 64-bit pattern and meets the limitation of random bits); (Page 1, lines 12-17; figure on the last page)

Determining any arbitrary digits out of the 16 hexadecimal digit (take 3-6 hexadecimal digits), this meets the limitation of determining the least significant bits).(page 1, lines 17, page 4; figure on the last page)

Converting the hexadecimal digit (3-6) in to a decimal integer using a decimalization mapping (Figure on the last page) (this meets the limitation of converting the least significant bits to a decimal integer).

Furthermore Kuhn teaches shifting the values of the integer by a predetermined (selected) constant to produce a shifted integer (constant is an offset in the reference) (figure on the last page). Kuhn does not explicitly teaches encoding the shifted integer as bits in a PIN block in accordance with a standard. ("standard" is understood by the examiner to be "ISO 9564-1"). However Matyas teaches encoding the pin in a pin block in accordance with one of the several industry-accepted de facto standard. (standard could be ISO

Art Unit: 2132

9564-1) (column 7, lines 52; column 5, lines 53-55 and column 5, line 63-67).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to encode kuhn's shifted integer as per teaching of Matya's, in order to produce the encoding PIN block in accordance to the industry accepted de facto standard (standard could be ISO 9564-1)

36. As per claim 22, the combination of Holch, Matyas and Khun teaches the method as applied to claim 21 above. Furthermore Holch teaches a method wherein a manufacturer of said device causes said encrypted version of said PIN to be stored in said device. (column 6,21-24).

37. As per claim 23 and 24 the combination of Holch,
Matyas and Khun teaches the method as applied to claim 21
above. Furthermore Holch teaches the method further
comprising the manufacturer or the card (device) issuer
storing a record of said PIN in the Account server(column
6, lines 29-31). Therefore It would have been obvious for

Art Unit: 2132

one having ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention was made to consider that the card (device) issuer has a capability of discarding all the records of said PIN if it has the capacity of storing a record of PIN.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samson B Lemma whose telephone number is 703-305-8745. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridary (8:00 am---4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BARRON JR GILBERTO can be reached on 703-305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAMSON LEMMA

June 28, 2004

GILBERTO BARRON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100